

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/747,442	12/26/2000	Wolfgang Daum	9D-HR-19571 - Daum et al	1702	
7590 12/28/2005			EXAM	INER	
John S. Beulick			LEE, BEN	LEE, BENJAMIN C	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102			2632		
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2009	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	per			
Office Action Summary		09/747,442	DAUM ET AL.	-			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Benjamin C. Lee	2632	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	October 2005.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	2.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) objected ne drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	R 1.121(d).			
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)	·			
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Detail Office Action

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/6/05 has been entered.

Claim Status

2. Claims 1-15 are currently pending.

Oath/Declaration

3. The previous Office action objection to the Oath/Declaration has been rescinded, as the properly executed version filed 2/4/02 has now been located/identified in the electronic file (its entry was not properly labeled).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailey et al. (US pat. #6,731,201) in view of Kido (US pat. #5,079,688)
- 1) Regarding claims 1 and 10, Bailey et al. disclosed a power line communication system comprising a communication interface (300, see Fig. 3A) for interfacing an appliance (100) with a power line carrier communication system (see Fig. 1B), wherein the power line communication system transmits a data message relating to an appliance command (col. 1, lines 20-22 and col. 4, lines 1-6), comprising: at least one power line connection (345 and 346) for coupling said

Art Unit: 2632

communication interface to a power line (through 221/222 or 217/219 to 225/227, Fig. 2); at least one appliance communication connection (321, 323, 325) for coupling said communication interface to an appliance (through 212/215, see Fig. 2), and processing circuitry (320, 330, 340) for receiving a power line carrier transmission including the message and translating the power line carrier transmission between a power line communication protocol and an appliance communication protocol, for transmitting the received message data to the appliance (col. 5, lines 64-67; col. 6, lines 41-44 and col. 7, lines 11-19); and discloses use of coded data communication (col. 4, lines 9-15; col. 7, lines 14-15) and also suggests use of digital data at least at the processing stage (col. 4, lines 25-28); except: the claimed diagnostic module configured to diagnose the power line, said module comprising a power line measurement connection for coupling said diagnostic module to the power line.

However, it has been known to diagnose a power line using a diagnostic module comprising a power line measurement connection for coupling said diagnostic module to the power line, so as to indicate a monitored fault condition of the power line, such as taught by Kido (see e.g. Abstract).

In view of the teachings by Bailey et al. and Kido it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to include a power line diagnostic module such as taught by Kido in a system involving the power line such as taught by Bailey et al. so that a fault condition of the power line can be monitored and determined for situational/conditional awareness of the power line condition in which the power line communication system and appliance system depended upon.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,442

Art Unit: 2632

2) Regarding claim 2, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claim 1, including the claimed signal processor (PLC Transceiver 330 of Bailey et al.) and communications processor (protocol translator 32, see col. 7, lines 11-19).

Page 4

- 3) Regarding claims 3 and 11, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claims 1 and 10, respectively, and Bailey et al. discloses that the appliance communication (204, 231 in Fig. 2., 321, 323, 325 in Fig. 3A; also see Fig. 4) is a serial bus connection (col. 4, line 35, col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 11).
- 4) Regarding claims 4 and 12, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claims 1 and 10, respectively, and Bailey et al. discloses a bi-directional appliance communication connection (transmit and receive lines 215, 212 in Fig. 2; also see Fig. 3D for Tx, Rx lines; also see col. 6, line 53 to col. 7, line 19).
- 5) Regarding claims 5 and 13, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claims 1 and 10, and Bailey et al. discloses a bi-directional power line carrier connection (col. 6, line 39 to col. 7, line 19).
- 6) Regarding claim 6, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claim 1, and Bailey et al. discloses that the appliance communication connection comprises a signal line (Tx 321, Rx 323) and a signal ground line (Gnd, 325). See Figs. 3A and 3D.
- 7) Regarding claims 7 and 14, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claims 1 and 10, respectively; and Bailey et al. discloses a buffer (3203 in Fig. 3D, also see col. 8, line 34).

Application/Control Number: 09/747,442 Page 5

Art Unit: 2632

8) Regarding claim 8, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claim 1, wherein:

Although Bailey does not specifically disclose that the processing circuit comprises the claimed general purpose UART, Bailey in col. 4, lines 39-48 discloses the use of UART software/protocol in the appliance's controller to allow it to communicate through communication port 204. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention that such software/protocol would have been included in the processing circuitry of the communication module 300 of Bailey in order to provide the two-way communications and translations capability between the appliance and different communications media.

9) Regarding claims 9 and 15, Bailey et al. and Kido render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claims 1 and 10, respectively, including:

--the claimed said power line connection comprises at least one of a 120V or 240V power line connection (Fig. 1B and col. 3, lines 44-46 of Bailey et al.)

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments are directed to newly added limitations (power line diagnostic module) lacking in Bailey et al. The above rejection was been made using new grounds in the form of the combination of Bailey et al. and Kido. See above rejection for detail.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/747,442

Art Unit: 2632

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

1) US 3569826, 5729145, 5903594

--Other known power line diagnostic systems and methods.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Benjamin C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2963.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Fri 11:00Am-7:30Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin/C. Lee Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 2632

B.L.